

Style & Editing

Advanced Punctuation Exercise

CONVEYING MEANING WITH PUNCTUATION: This passage lacks all punctuation (slash marks indicate grammatical sentences). Add missing punctuation, paying special attention not only to correctness but to ensuring that the meaning is as clear as possible. There is an answer/options key on the other side...but don't peek until you've finished!

Scientists and philosophers of science tend to speak as if “scientific language” were intrinsically precise as if those who use it must understand one another’s meaning even if they disagree / but in fact scientific language is not as different from ordinary language as is commonly believed / it too is subject to imprecision and ambiguity and hence to imperfect understanding / moreover new theories or arguments are rarely if ever constructed by way of clear-cut steps of induction deduction and verification or falsification / neither are they defended rejected or accepted in so straightforward a manner / in practice scientists combine the rules of scientific methodology with a generous admixture of intuition aesthetics and philosophical commitment / the importance of what are sometimes called extra-rational or extra-logical components of thought in the discovery of a new principle or law is generally acknowledged / ...but the role of these extra-logical components in persuasion and acceptance in making an argument convincing is less frequently discussed partly because they are less visible / the ways in which the credibility or effectiveness of an argument depends on the realm of common experiences on extensive practice in communicating those experiences in a common language are hard to see precisely because such commonalities are taken for granted / only when we step out of such a “consensual domain” when we can stand out on the periphery of a community with a common language do we begin to become aware of the unarticulated premises mutual understandings and assumed practices of the group even in those subjects that lend themselves most readily to quantification / discourse depends heavily on conventions and interpretation conventions that are acquired over years of practice and participation in a community.

Need some hints?

For a review of punctuation uses, try these handouts from the Purdue University Online Writing Lab—

“Using Commas”: http://owl.english.purdue.edu/handouts/grammar/g_comma.html

“Brief Overview of Punctuation”: http://owl.english.purdue.edu/handouts/grammar/g_overvw.html

ANSWER / OPTIONS KEY:

Scientists and philosophers of science tend to speak as if “scientific language” were intrinsically precise [:] [-] [,] as if those who use it must understand one another’s meaning [,] even if they disagree [.] But¹ [,] in fact [,] scientific language is not as different from ordinary language as is commonly believed [.] It [,] too [,]² is subject to imprecision and ambiguity [, *optional*] and hence to imperfect understanding [.]³ [M]oreover [,] new theories or arguments are rarely [,] if ever [,] constructed by way of clear-cut steps of deduction and verification or falsification [;]⁴ neither are they defended [,] rejected [,] or accepted in so straightforward a manner [.] In practice [,] scientists combine the rules of scientific methodology with a generous admixture of intuition [,] aesthetics [,] and philosophical commitment [;]⁵ the importance of what are sometimes called extra-rational or extra-logical components of thought in the discovery of a new principle or law is generally acknowledged [.] . . . but the role of these extra logical components in persuasion and acceptance in making an argument convincing is less frequently discussed [,] partly because they are less visible [.] The ways in which the credibility or effectiveness of an argument depends on the realm of common experiences [--] on extensive practice in communicating those experiences in a common language [--] are hard to see [,] precisely because such commonalities are taken for granted [.] Only when we step out of such a “consensual domain” [,] [--] when we can stand out on the periphery of a community with a common language [,] [--] do we begin to become aware of the unarticulated premises [,] mutual understandings [,] and assumed practices of a group [--] [;]⁶ even in those subjects that lend themselves most readily to quantification [.] Discourse depends heavily on conventions and interpretation [;] [--] conventions that are acquired over years of practice and participation in a community.

¹ A comma before the “but” here is technically correct but, given the number of commas preceding this clause already, creates an overly long, awkward sentence.

² It, too, -or- It too—either two commas or none.

³ Though a semicolon is technically correct, it makes more sense to use one to separate this clause from the *next* one, not from the previous one.

⁴ A full stop here is technically correct but stylistically choppy.

⁵ Though a full stop + capital “The” is technically correct, this punctuation-choice creates a split between these closely related ideas.

⁶ You could use 2 long-dashes earlier in this sentence, but the preferred mark is a colon.

Handout revised: August 10, 2009 TM